

International Application No

PCT/US2004/009972 CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C 7 C08G75/02 C08L C08L65/00 C08L81/00 C08J3/24 C08J9/26 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 C08L C08G C08J Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° Relevant to claim No. X US 6 359 091 B1 (GODSCHALX JAMES P ET AL) 1-8 19 March 2002 (2002-03-19) cited in the application column 1, line 65 - column 5, line 55 abstract; claims 1-7; examples 1-19 EP 0 203 438 A (ALLIED CORP) X 1-8 3 December 1986 (1986-12-03) column 3, line 1 - column 7, line 37 abstract; claims 1-10; examples 1-12 X US 6 172 128 B1 (LEUNG ROGER ET AL) 1-8 9 January 2001 (2001-01-09) cited in the application column 3, line 15 - column 6, line 23 abstract; claims 1-20; examples 1-5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Patent family members are listed in annex Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed Invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docudocument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 20 July 2004 26/07/2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Glomm, B



International Application No
PCT/US2004/009972

ontinuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
gory °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
	WO 00/31183 A (DOW CHEMICAL CO) 2 June 2000 (2000-06-02) cited in the application page 1, line 31 - page 8, line 10 abstract; claims 1-36; examples 1-12	1-8				

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.:

Present claim 1 relates to a compound defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely the feature specified in the last three lines of the main claim 1 (" ... that one A-functional group ... is capable of reaction .. ") corresponds to the attempt of defining protected matter by means of the corresponding result to be achieved, rather than by clear technical features. The claims cover all compounds having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such compounds. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the compound by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the compounds as defined in the present dependent claim 2 and corresponding passages of the description.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US2004/009972

Box II Ob	oservations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Internat	tional Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Clai	nims Nos.: cause they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
beca an e	tims Nos.:
beca	ims Nos.: ause they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Obs	servations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This internation	ional Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As a sear	all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all rchable claims.
2. As all of an	all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment ny additional fee.
3. As or cove	only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report ers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No re restri	required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is ricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Pr	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/US2004/009972

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
US	6359091	B1	19-03-2002	CN EP WO US	1391590 T 1244724 A1 0138417 A1 2002099158 A1	15-01-2003 02-10-2002 31-05-2001 25-07-2002	
EP	0203438	A	03-12-1986	CA EP JP US	1284398 C 0203438 A1 61278526 A 4711742 A	21-05-1991 03-12-1986 09-12-1986 08-12-1987	
US	6172128	B1	09-01-2001	NONE			
WO	0031183	Α .	02-06-2000	CN EP JP WO US US	1328589 T 1141128 A1 2002530505 T 0031183 A1 2003092785 A1 2003083392 A1 6630520 B1	26-12-2001 10-10-2001 17-09-2002 02-06-2000 15-05-2003 01-05-2003 07-10-2003	